hello there,

We just finished our meeting with PDRC.

From the side of PDRC it was stated that:

1. the emergency phase is over and now efforts must be focused on rehabilitation. They see a role for MSF as a provider of technical assistance in the field of national production of drugs and provision of raw materials.

They are still interested in the provision of drugs.

From our side we proposed:

- a reduction of the distribution program (geographically) without going in detail.
- more investment in quality and accessibility (grass root level)
- regarding the result of the nut. program = very weak attendance (less than 1%) and the fact that we may not do a nut survey will lead to a closure of this programme.
- MOH should be our counterpart.
- access to institutions.

To be cleared there was no discussion on which type of programme MSF will do. We will see on Friday what their reaction will be.

Personally I am not in favour that MSF will be involved in this type of rehabilitation program. I think it is more in the field of Aedes or other partners.

On the distribution side we will see what they will propose.

Attached you will receive a proposal from the field and myself, that was discussed on Sunday and which is an acceptable one for all the 3 sections. (I think). It is obvious that I will be only discussed if there is some positive sign.

Please give your comments before Friday. (second round)

wc.