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This publication is part of the “Médecins Sans Frontières Speaking Out” case studies series prepared in response to the MSF International Council’s wish to provide the movement with literature on MSF témoignage (advocacy).

The idea was to create a reference document that would be straightforward and accessible to all and help volunteers understand and adopt the organization’s culture of speaking out.

It was not to be an ideological manual or a set of guidelines. Témoignage cannot be reduced to a mechanical application of rules and procedures as it involves an understanding of the dilemmas inherent in every instance of humanitarian action.

The International Council assigned the project to a director of studies, who in turn works with an editorial committee composed of MSF representatives chosen by the International Board for their experience and expertise. They serve in their capacity as individuals and do not represent their national sections.

Faced with the difficulty of defining the term témoignage, the editorial committee decided to focus the series on case studies in which speaking out posed a dilemma for MSF and thus meant taking a risk.

Key information sources -MSF volunteers’ written and oral recollections — are reconstructed by highlighting documents from the period concerned and interviewing the main actors.

The individuals interviewed are chosen from lists prepared by the operational sections involved in each case. Speaking in the language they choose, these individuals offer both their account of events and their assessment of MSF’s response. The interviews are recorded and transcribed.

Document searches are conducted in the operational sections’ archives and, as far as possible, press archives.

The research is constrained by practical and financial issues, including locating interviewees and securing their agreement and determining the existence, quality and quantity of archived materials.

The methodology aims at establishing the facts and setting out a chronological presentation of the positions adopted at the time. It enables the reconstruction of debates and dilemmas without pre-judging the quality of the decisions made.

The main text describes events in chronological order. It includes excerpts from documents and interviews, linked by brief introductions and transitional passages. We rely on document extracts to establish the facts as MSF described and perceived them at the time. When documentation is missing, interviews sometimes fill the gaps. These accounts also provide a human perspective on the events and insight into the key players’ analyses.

Preceding the main texts collected, the reader will find a map, a list of abbreviations and an introduction that lays out the context of MSF’s public statements and the key dilemmas they sought to address.

In addition, a detailed chronology reconstructs MSF’s actions and public statements in regional and international news reports of the period.
Each case study was written in French and translated into English and is available in both languages.¹

These case studies were essentially designed as an educational tool for associative members of the organisation. With the hope of broadening their educational scope the studies are now being made available to the public for free, on the website www.speakingout.msf.org, the various English and French-language websites of individual sections of Médecins Sans Frontières, and on Google Book.

We hope you find them useful.

The Editorial Committee.

September 2013

¹. Document excerpts and interviews have been translated into both languages.
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**ABBREVIATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFP</td>
<td>Agence France Presse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACNUR</td>
<td>(Latin America): Alto Comisionado de las Naciones Unidas para los Refugiados = UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARENA</td>
<td>(El Salvador): Allianza Republicana Nacionalista: Republican Nationalist Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>(Salvadoran refugee in Honduras): Coordinación nacional de repoblación = National Coordination for Resettlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONARE</td>
<td>(Honduras): Comision Nacional de Refugiados = National Commission for Refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERP</td>
<td>(El Salvador): Ejército Revolucionario del Pueblo = People’s Liberation army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMLN</td>
<td>(El Salvador): Frente Farabundo Martí para la Liberación Nacional = Farabundo Martí National Liberation Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Nongovernmental Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitrep</td>
<td>Situation report, from the field team to the programme manager, or from the programme manager to other sections or to headquarters’ management teams.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extract from MSF archives or press clippings. Extract from interviews conducted with people who participated and/or witnessed the events.

Click to access the reference material list. Then click on the referring number to access the video.
Salvadoran refugee camps in Honduras 1988

Nicaraguan refugee camps
INTRODUCTION

In the 1970s, El Salvador, a densely populated Central American country with its land and wealth concentrated in the hands of an oligarchy, saw the emergence of popular movements calling for reform. The repressive response of the ultra-conservative government and paramilitary groups sparked an armed struggle by people's movements, and the formation of the Farabundo Marti National Liberation Front (FMLN in Spanish). At the beginning of the 1980s, the civil war provoked the flight of thousands of Salvadorans to neighbouring Honduras, where they installed themselves in camps near the border.

Médecins Sans Frontières teams began providing assistance to the refugees as soon as they arrived in Honduras. Sympathising with the Salvadoran guerrillas' struggle against a dictatorship, the MSF volunteers also acted as 'human rights observers' in the camps that were often subjected to violent incursions by the Salvadoran and Honduran armies.

The Salvadoran guerrillas exerted considerable control over the refugees through committees established to manage the camps. They regarded the camps as both an ideological showcase and a rear base for their armed struggle, and thus obliged the refugee population to be entirely devoted to the cause.

In 1987, under the auspices of the Contadora group composed of several Latin American countries, the guerrillas and the Salvadoran government signed the Esquipulas Accords, which provided for a ceasefire, a reconciliation commission, and procedures for amnesties and elections. Hard-line factions among the guerrillas, however, regarded the prospect of refugee repatriation to El Salvador, that was foreseen in these Accords, as an impediment to victory in the war. Hence the committees began to extend an even tighter grip over the refugee camps.

MSF volunteers soon clashed with the committees because they refused to meet the committees' excessive demands. The committees wanted MSF to provide certain drugs that MSF considered not only inappropriate but dangerous in the hands of insufficiently-trained local personnel. MSF volunteers also witnessed violent demonstrations against refugees who wanted to return to El Salvador and other abuses against refugees, sometimes to the point of sacrifice, aimed at showing the world the suffering of the Salvadoran people.

The conflict between MSF and the committees came to a head in the summer of 1988 and ended with the withdrawal of all MSF teams from refugee camps in Honduras at the end of that year. The committees' propaganda asserted that they had expelled MSF from the camps due to the organisation's incompetence and insensitivity to the fate of the refugees.

MSF remained discreet about the presence of guerrillas in the camps so as to avoid placing the refugees in danger, but the real reasons for MSF's departure were finally exposed and discussed in the regional and international press.
During internal debates that preceded its withdrawal, Médecins Sans Frontières addressed the following questions in succession:

- In order to continue assisting the refugee population, should MSF accept that its aid is strengthening the totalitarian hold of the guerrilla force controlling the refugees?

- Having decided to withdraw in the name of certain principles, is it acceptable for MSF to expose the reasons in public, thereby potentially endangering the camp population by revealing the presence of the guerrilla forces in the camps?

- Inversely, would remaining silent about the reasons for MSF’s withdrawal not negate the sense and impact of such a decision?
PEOPLE INTERVIEWED AND THEIR POSITION AT THE TIME OF THE EVENTS

Dr. Rony Brauman  MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

Dr. Bernard Pécoul  MSF France Coordinator in Mesa Grande Camp Programme, then Coordinator in Honduras

Dr. Vincent Jeannerod  MSF France Coordinator in Honduras in 1981 and 1982
In the 1970s, El Salvador, a very densely populated Central American country, saw the emergence of popular movements calling for a more equitable sharing of its wealth, and especially its land, which were concentrated in the hands of an oligarchy. In 1979 the populist junta in power tries to pursue reforms but is destabilized by the oligarchy, the army and extreme popular movements. The progressive elements withdraw from the government, who, supported by ultra-violent paramilitary groups, reinforces the repression. The popular movements launch an armed struggle and form the Farabundo Martí National Liberation Front [Frente Farabundo Martí para la Liberación Nacional – FMLN].

In 1980, 14,000 Salvadorans began fleeing the civil war ravaging their country and moved into the refugee camps of Colomoncagua, San Antonio, La Virtud and, later, Mesa Grande in Honduras. These were known as the ‘western camps,’ located fewer than 50 kilometres from the Salvadoran border.

Volunteers from the French section of Médecins Sans Frontières arrived to aid the refugees soon after the camps were established. They witnessed aerial attacks by the Salvadoran army and violent incursions into the refugee camps by Honduran soldiers.

Vincent Jeannerod, Action in the field and lack of political commitment, Le Monde Diplomatique (France), May 1985 (in French).

Extract:
In October 1980, Médecins Sans Frontières decided to come to the aid of the Salvadoran people who were victims of military repression and fighting between the army and the FMLN [Frente Farabundo Martí para la Liberación Nacional – Farabundo Martí National Liberation Front]. Since the Salvadoran government refused to accept any aid inside the county, Médecins Sans Frontières decided to assist Salvadoran refugees in Honduras. This mission, located along the demilitarised zone between Honduras and El Salvador, was undertaken under the auspices of the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (HCR), which provided partial funding. Over the course of 18 months, a team of twelve doctors and nurses set up and ran a hospital, medical centres and health training facilities. This humanitarian action was carried out in very difficult physical conditions (including rudimentary access and communications and a subtropical climate with its hot and rainy seasons). In addition, the team faced a permanent threat of incursion by the Salvadoran army and extreme right-wing groups in the refugee reception zone. Salvadoran military helicopters flew over the region several times and Salvadoran army contingents, accompanied by paramilitary groups, conducted searches. Honduran officers observed such activity with a welcoming, even complicit, eye.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

Over a certain period, we heard a lot about sporadic human rights violations, people who were victimised even killed, as a result of pressure within the camps. The Hondurans were not gentle. They were clearly allied to the Salvadoran (government) and had received a green light from the Americans. It was obvious that the Salvadoran guerrillas had a rear base in the Colomoncagua camp, ope-
rating under a fairly authoritarian regime. Although the Honduran army had sealed off the camps, there were constant comings and goings. The situation was extremely tense in and around the camps.

Dr. Bernard Pécoul, MSF France Coordinator in Mesa Grande Camp Programme, then Coordinator in Honduras

The search for guerrilla fighters was often a pretext for army incursions into the refugee camps. Although the guerrillas were rarely physically present in the camps, they maintained long-distance control of the committees that officially managed the camps.

At the time, I learned that the committee was made up of three or four people, including an Irishman who was an extreme-left militant married to a Salvadoran woman. In my opinion, he was a former IRA member who had worked with the guerrillas. I believe the guerrillas had assigned him to the Colomoncagua camp. He lived there but never showed himself. I never saw him in the camp — and he had red hair. You couldn’t miss him — he was the only redhead around. But I knew of his existence. He had a nickname, and as kind of a test, I would ask to see him from time to time. Then things would shut down! That’s impossible! There was no such person. He was clandestine.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

In February 1982, UNHCR transferred refugees from La Virtud camp that was close to the border, to Mesa Grande camp some 40 kilometres (25 miles) inland, to protect them from Salvadoran army’s incursions. For a while refugees in Colomoncagua camp refuse to move. Eventually 4,000 refugees choose to return to El Salvador. MSF accompanies them during relocation.


Extract:
Several months later, these camps were moved 15 kilometres (nine miles) further inside Honduras. The goals of the deportation included:
- to prevent all direct contact between FMLN combatants and the refugees, thereby cutting off the alleged supplies to the guerrillas, which were never proven;
- to give the Honduran army free rein to help the Salvadoran army fight the FMLN;
- to prevent new refugees from crossing into Honduras …

This repressive reorganisation was undertaken against the advice of people working in the field. Refugee representatives never had a chance to speak and the humanitarian organisations (including MSF) that wanted to discuss how this deportation would proceed were either threatened or expelled.

While several FMLN groups shared control of the Mesa Grande refugee camp, the Colomoncagua camp was dominated by the ERP (Ejército Revolucionario del Pueblo - People’s Revolutionary Army), the most militarised faction.

The 1982 transfer of refugees was referred to as ‘the deportation.’ The refugees had been settled at La
Virtud for eight months and had begun farming. They didn’t want to leave everything to go to a camp 40 kilometres (25 miles) away, in the middle of a plain, surrounded by barbed wire, completely isolated and under army surveillance. The MSF Honduras team didn’t agree with headquarters. The result was that I was not rehired as coordinator. My successor came to oversee medical aid during the transfer and move into the new camp. It was easy to move La Virtud. The camp was open so all they had to do was bring in trucks. People were removed by force.

Dr. Vincent Jeannerod, MSF France Coordinator in Honduras in 1981 and 1982

The first stumbling block arose right away around the issue of moving the camps to the Honduran interior. There, in Spanish, they called it reubicación (relocation). The refugees and those who supported them – I’d say we also took their side but more cautiously – referred to it as ‘deportation.’ They were particularly opposed to moving the camp away from the border. La Virtud camp, which was completely informal, was moved fairly quickly. It became Mesa Grande camp, about one or two hours away and around 50 kilometres (30 miles) inside Honduras. There were only footpaths in that area. It took a full day’s walk to get from the camp to the border.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

The Committees completely controlled the refugees. They organised activities in the camps on the basis of collective devotion to the cause, leaving no room for individual freedom.

Bertrand de la Grange, ‘To prevent backing the guerilla grip on the camp population, the organisation Médecins sans Frontières gives up assisting Salvadoran refugees in Honduras’, Le Monde (France), 16 December 1988 (in French).

Extract:
There were many statements about forced recruitment in the camps. ‘Mothers are called in as soon as their children turn 10 and are strongly encouraged to turn them over to the guerrillas,’ a former camp leader told us. Those who refused were subject to severe punishment, including summary execution.

The situation in Honduras was one of total control. Everything was manipulated for political ends. Such-and-such a person had to be recruited. Everyone was interchangeable. The place was as much a factory for the guerrillas as a refugee camp. They produced hammocks and shoes. The ‘zapaterías,’ or ‘shoemakers’ workshops,’ manufactured walking shoes but you didn’t see kids wearing those shoes. None of the young people were older than 12. There were women who were always pregnant and as soon as the boys turned 12 or 13, they would go off to the other side. It was a day-care centre that produced children for the guerrillas… They wanted to build a society where everyone was interchangeable and where the collective put each person to work for the benefit of the whole. In concrete terms, that meant that basic health care was organised along lines very reminiscent of the 1960s and ’70s, with health ‘brigadistas’ chosen according to the criteria imposed by the camp leaders. At MSF we had no possibility to choose people on the basis of their training, their motivation or their affinity for our volunteers. The committees chose the ‘brigadistas’ and we were responsible for training them. These were primary health care workers with a very strong ideological orientation. Over the course of two or three weeks they were trained, haphazardly, to prescribe medicines or treatments aimed at covering 90-95 percent of the most common illnesses. And then, after a fairly short time—which I believe was to prevent lengthy contact with foreigners—they were assigned to other collective activities. So after four or five months, a health ‘brigadista’ would become manager of a shoe workshop and then go on to be a food distributor or the constructor of refugee housing. There was a shadow government, very informal, led by the committees that took responsibility for different social and political activities: education, social life, cooking, sanitation, external relations and security. They had a ‘little government.’ They were organised in a very Stalinist fashion and they would move the work teams around. For us, it was a source of terrible chaos. The system was doomed in advance because of its ideological orientation.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

The MSF volunteers did not realise the full extent of the Committees’ grip on the camps.
The teams weren’t really aware of this because it was kept secret. Everything seemed transparent because the culture and language were so accessible. But beneath all that, there was a very deep secret, especially at Colomoncagua. Many activities were completely hidden and the permanent discourse kept people at a distance. They didn’t see what was going on because it was hard to see and no one really wanted to see. And then, too, these incidents were sporadic.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

Due to the particularly repressive nature of the Salvadoran government’s tactics, many MSF volunteers sympathised with the cause of the Salvadoran guerrillas.

At the outset the team strongly supported the refugees’ cause, or the symbol that the refugees represented. This support was stronger than in the Sudan, for example, or in other refugee camps due to the political and cultural accessibility of the refugees. We could speak to people directly, we did not need an intermediary because everyone spoke Spanish. And it was a classic political situation: people rising up against a far-right military dictatorship. It was easy, and tempting, for the volunteers to identify with this struggle… I loved being there, too. I immediately wanted to take charge of that region because I spoke Spanish and the situation fascinated me. The more I went to the region, the more I loved going. El Salvador, Honduras and Nicaragua were my favourite places. It’s great to go where you can understand what’s on the radio or T.V. and in the newspapers and where you can speak directly with people. You feel at ease. You have real connections with people. It’s great.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

In the beginning, most of the volunteers saw their commitment to humanitarian action as a form of political activism.

Some members of the MSF teams did not arrive in Honduras by accident, but organised their way in by introducing themselves as a friend of another team member. This was unquestionably political infiltration by activists. It was not unusual… but it crystallised in Central America more than elsewhere because the political stakes were so clear and things were so familiar… It was less infiltration than a certain idea people had about MSF. MSF should be on the side of people who are rising up and standing up for themselves. Humanitarianism means being on the side of the forces of progress. No one wants to betray humanitarian principles, but humanitarianism should serve this political ideal. This was a slightly weird point of view, but a classic one… MSF doctors went over to the guerrillas from Mesa Grande. Two or three Spanish doctors disappeared, including a girl who was killed. They joined the guerrillas. They used Mesa Grande as a departure point and headed to Colomoncagua, where they used their own local contacts to cross the border. They disappeared and then came back via Mesa Grande. It was slightly awkward. We didn’t know this was going on. I didn’t find out until later. I thought their actions were borderline because they put people in danger. But in the end, they endangered only themselves, which is acceptable. They didn’t play games. They didn’t come back to Mesa Grande waving red flags. When they returned, they melted into the crowd. And then, poof! They’d leave again via Honduras, with no one the wiser. They cheated a bit on their plane tickets but everyone said, well, they’re doing medicine over there, too.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

Developing humanitarian aid in a camp like that was pretty complicated because most of the volunteers there had taken the guerrillas’ side. MSF tried to maintain an impartial position in those camps. That was a real tightrope act. At a certain point, we were very involved. Unknown to us, we were supplying doctors to the guerrillas. They left clandestinely, going through MSF channels to join the guerrillas. They used their plane tickets, which MSF paid for, to join the fight. It was a personal choice. One of the volunteers died. She was shot the first time she came back to Honduras from El Salvador. They infiltrated in different places and then began moving from one zone to another. When I arrived, I was a bit naive. The situation was explosive. After a while, I realised what was going on. We had very good political contacts with the guerrillas. The Mesa Grande camp coordinator, whom I replaced, was living with one of the guerrilla leaders. She was totally involved. She was pregnant. She led people to believe she was returning to Spain, but in reality she joined the guerrillas. She thought I was going to maintain the links with the guerrillas. Before leaving, she left me the ‘keys,’ that is, the instructions for handling the drugs and for the health education classes that were really political education classes!

Dr. Bernard Pécoul, MSF France Coordinator in Mesa Grande Camp Programme, then Coordinator in Honduras
The volunteers also dropped off medicines at villages close to the border for the guerrillas to collect.

Very soon we started letting small quantities of medicines cross to the other side for the guerrillas without going there ourselves. These drug supplies were left for the guerrillas at a spot where they would come pick them up. There were soldiers in the village of La Virtud where MSF was set up. They were watching everything. There were probably informers in the village so we were very careful.

Rony Brauman, Director of Central America Programs and President, MSF France (in French).

MSF did not aid the guerrillas directly, but we treated all the wounded at the border and there were obviously guerrillas among them. We also dropped off medicines in the villages. Everything was far away so when a peasant left for a distant village, we would give him a load… One day, Negroponte, the U.S. ambassador, summoned me. He showed me photos of tents and medical supplies with the MSF logo. The photos had been taken in El Salvador in guerrilla-held zones. The guerrillas had obviously made off with supplies and medicines.

Dr. Vincent Jeannerod, MSF France Coordinator in Honduras in 1981 and 1982

MSF as an organisation did not, however, support this political activism, which created tensions between the field and headquarters.

From time to time, the HCR guys would call me to say, ‘It would be good if you could come.’ That was the code. Then I knew the volunteers had gone too far, that they’d gone to meetings, denounced people… and so I’d go. Sometimes they went too far in terms of the guerrillas. They did foolish things such as provoking the [Honduran] military to breaking point. By ‘they,’ I mean the radicals, the ones who said, ‘We’re here to give the refugees back their voice.’ The refugees’ voice—was the committees’ voice and the committees were the guerrillas. So there was a mix of everything. But there were several future MSF leaders who came through and who had cooler heads. They distinguished between the refugees’ interests and the committees’ edicts and drew a very clear dividing line between the two. I remember arriving in Colomoncagua once, all alone, with no one to greet me, even in Tegucigalpa. Luckily, I knew the country very well, so I walked from Colomoncagua to the refugee camp. When I arrived people were quite cool, as if asking, ‘What are you doing here?’ ‘I’ve come to see what you’re doing.’ And paradoxically, as we talked, I deepened the division within the team. I was giving people who were trying to avoid being caught up in the prevailing attitudes, certain arguments about humanitarian law and principles. I told them, ‘this isn’t our job. If refugee camps around the world were situated near borders, they would all become political platforms (the term ‘sanctuary’ wasn’t yet in use) and pose immediate danger to refugees…’ I clearly remember a meeting in Colomoncagua during which Doris and Sotiris were strengthened in their position: someone from Paris arrived who supported the indignation they felt towards the committees in contrast to the other volunteers who accorded the committees virtually unlimited rights. These latter volunteers took the position that protest or outrage only played into the hands of the death squads and CIA imperialism. It was a very heated ideological discussion. Those were the last fires of that whole period.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

Naturally, we tended to be pro-guerrilla but we quickly denounced their abuses. This was a very dictatorial guerrilla movement. They committed human rights abuses inside the camps. Surveillance was intense. Civilian protection in the camp was based on favouritism. The civilians were in much greater danger than we were. These families faced the threat of the Hondurans who did not hesitate to shoot.

Dr. Bernard Pécoul, MSF France Coordinator in Mesa Grande Camp Programme, then Coordinator in Honduras

The committees wanted to arouse the international community’s compassion and assure its support, so they tried to show that the aid organisations were treating the refugees badly.

In Colomoncagua, the committees starved some kids prior to the arrival of a North American delegation of senators and liberal intellectuals, American progressives. The delegation was coming to play the role of the refugees’ protector. They were there to show that the refugees were the damned of the earth, first in El Salvador and then in Honduras that they were being left to starve because they represented a threat to imperialism. When the commit-
tees put these malnourished kids on display, the volunteers said, ‘Where did they come from? There are feeding centres everywhere! There’s loads of food!’

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

In August 1985, Honduran soldiers carried out a deadly raid on Colomoncagua camp. A baby died in the arms of an MSF doctor, who was accused of homicide and thrown in jail by the military. The refugees protested and he was eventually released. V3

During the attack, MSF volunteers witnessed a group of refugees trying to sacrifice a wounded man to make a martyr of him.

And then there was that incident that really shook things up, when the Honduran army made an incursion into the camps allegedly looking for guerrillas. The Honduran soldiers--some were drunk, others were driven by hatred and a mixture of xenophobia and anti-communism--behaved like violent hoodlums. They fired shots, beat up an old man and kicked a baby in the head. They shot into the ground and in the air. Stray bullets wounded several people. It was like a pogrom, a real attack. The MSF volunteers behaved very courageously and effectively. They immediately intervened, making clear that they had seen everything and were going to report what had happened. They managed to bandage the first person wounded (shot in the right lung) and insert an IV so that he could be evacuated to a nearby hospital. He was barely loaded onto the ambulance when a small commando directed by the committees threw himself on the wounded man and tore off his IV and bandage. They wanted him to die. They were worried that he would be saved. If he was only lightly wounded and then treated by Honduran doctors, their whole victimisation strategy would be weakened, if not completely undermined because they had, in fact, been attacked. So they tried to kill the guy. They failed because MSF managed to evacuate him. He wasn’t as badly hurt as we had feared. They were worried that he would be saved. If he was only lightly wounded and then treated by Honduran doctors, their whole victimisation strategy would be weakened, if not completely undermined because they had, in fact, been attacked. So they tried to kill the guy. He failed because MSF managed to evacuate him. He wasn’t as badly hurt as we had feared. They were able to operate on him and he was saved… This attempted sacrifice shook the medical team, embroiled as it was in politics, activism and refugee support in the most ideological sense of the word.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

In 1986, MSF replaced Caritas as the agency responsible for medical support to all the refugee camps in western Honduras. The volunteers worked to make the aid management system more rational by following ethical and medical efficacy criteria. They refused to prescribe certain medicines, which led the Committees to accuse them of not treating the refugees properly. These complaints became incessant and public.

In a camp of 8,000 refugees, there are normally around ten health care positions, with brigadistas rapidly trained… When I went into the camps, I reviewed the appointment records - it was outrageous. This had really become a public health problem. They had cardioactive medications and digitalis, drugs that can provoke heart rhythm problems, and herpes cortisone ointment, which can lead to serious complications. They were using everything. Of course, MSF’s medication lists were somewhat debatable, but they were a starting point and could be adapted to local circumstances. But putting corticoids, cardioactives and powerful antibiotics in the hands of people with two weeks’ training was just plain irresponsible. So I came to cut off the drug supplies that were dangerous for the brigadistas’ use. I didn’t want them to have more than five medications. In truth, I didn’t want any brigadistas, but it was impossible to question the committees’ decision. You couldn’t do anything. All you could do was try to keep them from haphazardly using the MSF-provided medicines that were only to be prescribed by physicians. Neither nurses nor brigadistas can prescribe cardioactive drugs. I forbade the purchase of those kinds of medicines.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

Letter from Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, coordinator of MSF France in Honduras, to the Programme Manager, March 1988 (in French).

Extract:
After a period of calm in Colomocagua, there has been some agitation over the incident of outdated drugs, stirred up from beginning to end by the teniente (supervisor) … Lorena, who I saw at 21.30 after the meeting, had a lump in her throat and was almost in tears. Total manipulation. I think the current climate at Colo is unbearable. It was the major issue at the agencies’ last quarterly meeting … I think the famous letter I told you about on the phone arrived at just the right time… The ACNUR (Alto Comisionado de la Naciones unidas para los refugiados – UNHCR) is moving towards firing the teniente. I just found out tonight that Chantal Messié had a problem at the checkpoint leaving the camp. Apparently she was summoned two hours later by this famous teniente, who told her he was planning to file a report demanding her departure from Honduras!! I hope this goes no further than words…
Bertrand de la Grange, ‘To prevent backing the guerrilla grip on the camp population, the organisation Médecins sans Frontières gives up assisting Salvadoran refugees in Honduras,’ Le Monde (France), 16 December 1988 (in French).

Extract:
According to refugee representatives, the conflict began in 1984, when MSF allegedly decided to ‘change its policy’ by reducing the number of drugs available and, little by little, losing interest in patients. In fact, the first signs of tension only appeared in October 1987, when Mesa Grande refugees organised a demonstration denouncing MSF’s ‘insensitivity’ to their problems.

Dr. Bernard Pécoul, MSF France Coordinator in Mesa Grande Camp Programme, then Coordinator in Honduras

In 1987, the Salvadoran government and the guerrilla signed the Esquipulas accords, initiated by the Contadora Group (Mexico, Venezuela, Colombia, Panama). Including provisions for a ceasefire, reconciliation commissions, amnesty procedures and elections, these accords raised the prospect of the Honduran refugees’ return to El Salvador. But the ERP, the FMLN’s hard-line faction, viewed repatriation as a compromise with the Salvadoran government and a possible threat to FMLN victory. Strong-arm tactics were used to threaten and deter the few people who were candidates for repatriation.

Repatriation was an extremely complicated challenge because it could be seen as a victory for the guerrillas, who could claim they had ensured their people’s protection, or for the government and army who claimed, “the war is over and we’ve won.” In any event, this was the beginning of a chaotic electoral process that was not really in place until after the 1993 San Salvador offensive. It also corresponded with a period of major division between the FDR and FMLN. In 1988-1989, we were in the midst of a political thaw. Guerrilla movements around the world were feeling the effects of glasnost and the weakening of the Soviet Union. In South Africa, Mandela had started to negotiate. It’s amazing how quickly that message reached war zones around the world.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

In March 1988 the far right won the Salvadoran legislative elections and in May the Salvadoran army resumed its offensives in the Chalatenengo and Morazon regions bordering Honduras. On 14 June 1988, the ‘Salvadoran Refugee Community of Colomoncagua, Honduras’ issued a press release announcing its decision to stage a hunger strike to protest “against the repression of the military and the manoeuvres of UNHCR in Honduras to encourage repatriation.”

The original document has not been located, but is referred to on p. 15 of Honduras coordinator Dr. Magdi Ibrahim’s mission report of December 1988 (in French).

Extract:
June 14: The ‘Salvadoran Refugee Community of Colomoncagua, Honduras’ issued its first press release (eight pages), announcing that a hunger strike has begun to protest military repression and UNHCR attempts to encourage repatriation. The document addresses all aspects of assistance, offering general criticisms and reserving the strongest remarks for medical aid.


Extract:
Waldo Willalpando, the UNHCR representative in Honduras, has confirmed that 33 Salvadoran refugees have been fasting since last Friday, but are not on a hunger strike.
On 17 June, 15 refugees began a hunger strike at Mesa Grande.

Original document has not been found but is referred to on p. 15 of Honduras coordinator Dr. Magdi Ibrahim’s December 1988 mission report (in French).

Extract:
June 17: press release issued by 15 refugees who began a hunger strike today (by June 23, their numbers totalled 35). This two-page document from the Salvadoran Community of Mesa Grande was titled ‘A Fast Against Hunger and Repression.’ It proposes a nutrition chart (a list of food requirements to be provided to the refugees based on nutritional criteria) that has been revised upward based on their criteria...

On 24 June, UNHCR issued a press release noting that mortality rates in the refugee camps were lower than those among the Honduran population.

UNHCR press release, El Tiempo (Honduras), (in Spanish).

Extract:
Concerned with providing information to permit an accurate judgement of the health status of the Salvadoran refugees, UNHCR reports that the infant mortality rate in the Salvadoran refugee camp is 5.04 % compared to 8 % in the Honduran population, and the crude mortality rate in the camps is 0.36 % compared to 0.95 % in the Honduran population.

Bertrand de la Grange, ‘To prevent backing the guerilla grip on the camp population, the organisation Médecins sans Frontières gives up assisting Salvadoran refugees in Honduras,’ Le Monde (France), 16 December 1988 (in French).

Extract:
The controversy mounted. UNHCR published reports proving that Salvadoran refugees benefited from better health care than the population of their host country. “The Salvadoran refugees are spoiled children,” a UNHCR official said. “They receive better rations (2,700 calories/day) than most of the refugees we help on other continents.”

And then they started again, agitating and demanding medicine, food and high-energy supplements to treat non-existent starving people! Françoise Tholly, the Programme Manager and I found us in a truly extraordinary negotiation in a meeting room in the Colomoncagua camp. It was the rainy season and water was falling hard on the metal roof. The noise was deafening. In spite of the rain, 150 or 200 refugees were holding a sit-in, yelling their slogans, “Tenemos hambre, queremos medicinas!” (“We’re hungry, we want medicine!”). The discussion lasted two full days, eight or nine hours each day. When the rain stopped, they started chanting the slogans again, even louder. When the rain resumed, they would take shelter under an owning

On 28 June 40 people began a hunger strike in Colomoncagua.

Original document has not been found but is referred to on p. 15 of Honduras coordinator Dr. Magdi Ibrahim’s December 1988 mission report, (in French).

Extract:
June 28: hunger strike begins in Colomoncagua with 40 strikers. By 2 July the number had risen to 280! In San Antonio, around 20 people are on a hunger strike.

On 30 June and 1 July, MSF and HCR representatives led a marathon negotiating session with representatives of the Colomoncagua Committee.

Extract from letter of Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, Honduras coordinator, to the Programme Manager in Paris, August 5, 1988 (in French).

Extract:
June 30: Rony visits Colo – announces the possibility that MSF will withdraw if the committees continue their pressure against us. I had nine hours of discussions afterwards, during which we talked about supplementary feeding and ‘vitaminisation’ of the supplementary feeding centres. I was opposed to the proposal from Fecci of UNHCR who suggested donating vitamins to these centres... The negotiations came to a halt on the first point concerning their ‘health’ demands: they want a doctor to be in the camp around-the-clock. We refused the Committees’ request that we resume the next day (Saturday) - they hoped we would be worn out and they’d win concessions, as happened when UNHCR negotiated food rations on behalf of Caritas.
with their banners. They kept up constant pressure. Some 20 committee representatives, largely women, led the crowd. You had the impression they were a bunch of Maoists from the late 60s. They were saying, “We reject bourgeois academic knowledge,” (“el saber académico burgués”). I was accused of promoting it by limiting the doctors’ right to prescribe drugs. They were saying that here the people wanted all power, including the power to provide medical treatment; that this was how things worked here and that we were not going to impose our imperialist laws. So there were negotiations about drugs, doctors, and so on. I was there to talk but not to negotiate. Françoise Tholly, who didn’t have a political background and wasn’t familiar with this kind of language, was very upset. I was exhilarated. In the end, I’d had it because it was exhausting. But it was funny. I recognised the negotiating methods that Moscow and the communists used. They would win the battle by wearing you out and repeating the same thing. They were interchangeable. We weren’t. They tried to win by wearing us out but we didn’t have anything to give up. I wasn’t going to let MSF give dangerous drugs to people who weren’t in a position to use them. There was no negotiating on that subject. At certain points, everyone burst out laughing and then they would turn nasty again. But there was no hatred. There was even something that happened between us …”

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

The medical supply warehouse at the San Antonio camp was looted. The MSF nurse had to face an angry group of refugees. MSF protested.

Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, MSF Coordinator in Honduras, Mission report, December 1988 (in French).

Extract:
July 30: attack on the medical supply warehouse in the San Antonio camp; hostile demonstrations against the MSF nurse in San Antonio who, according to demonstrators, “is uncooperative and has a negative attitude”; these events coincided with Rony Brauman’s visit to Honduras’ western region.”

Image and collection of patients accounts gathered by the refugee committees (cover and narrative) (in Spanish).

Extract:
Statements of patients who were poorly treated by MSF.

I am Genoveva Marquez, 101, from the Vegas sub-camp. I suffer from heart pain and palpitations. The doctors gave me a few tablets but they didn’t help. I’ve suffered from this illness for two years but all they gave me were these tablets. I’ve been coming to these doctors since 1987 and finally the doctor told me, ‘I’m not going to give you medicine because you’ve lived a long time, you’re very old, and elderly people like you don’t need medical care.’ He gave me some vitamins but they didn’t agree with me.

On 2 July, the Colomocagua Refugee Committee published a press release in El Tiempo denouncing MSF’s refusal to provide vitamins and claiming that UNHCR supported the refugees in this struggle.


Extract:
From the Salvadoran refugee community in Colomocagua, Honduras, to the entire national and international solidarity movement, humanitarian organisations, ecumenical religious movements, non-governmental organisations, friendly governments, and workers of the world. We would like to draw your attention to press release number 3: Concerning the discussion on the supplementary diet for pregnant women, the malnourished and the sick, MSF decided against the refugees administering vitamins and minerals themselves and have even threatened to withdraw from the program. The UNHCR delegation from Geneva agreed that it should be us who administers the vitamins.

On 4 July 1988, a refugee from the Mesa Grande camp who was planning to return to El Salvador killed the leader who forbade him from leaving. An angry crowd tried to lynch the refugee, along with other members of his family.

Letter of Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, MSF coordinator in Honduras, to the Programme Manager, 5 August 1988 (in French).

Extract:
“July 4, internal security problem at Mesa Grande--a camp coordinator was killed. The three suspects were nearly lynched in the name of ‘the people’s tribunal.’ They survived thanks to protection offered by international workers on the scene (MSF, UNHCR, CARITAS)...”
Bertrand de la Grange: ‘To prevent backing the guerrilla grip on the camp population, the organisation Médecins sans Frontières gives up assisting Salvadoran refugees in Honduras’, *Le Monde* (France), 16 December 1988 (in French).

**Extract:**
A serious event occurred after Dr. Brauman left. On 4 July, a refugee assassinated the leader of the Mesa Grande camp, a refugee known by his nom de guerre, Moises. In the hours that followed, the killer and two members of his family were lynched by an overwrought crowd that attacked the three with clubs and knives. The seriously wounded men were barely saved, thanks to action by representatives of international organisations. At the men’s request, they were repatriated to El Salvador. MSF’s president and volunteers (French, but also Belgians, Swiss, Spaniards and Latin Americans) were stunned by this settling of political accounts. Moises was killed by refugees who no longer wanted to cooperate with the guerrillas.

On 5 July, 281 refugees from the Colomoncagua and San Antonio camps went on a hunger strike.

‘Refugees participate in peaceful protest,’ *El Tiempo* (Honduras), 5 July 1988 (in French).

**Extract:**
The refugees held rallies and marches inside the camps as an act of solidarity with their 281 compatriots who were conducting a fast. They also demanded a more complete diet and on-going medical attention.

On 6 July, MSF published a press release in the Honduran newspaper *El Tiempo* noting the possibility of withdrawing from the camps.

**Letter** from Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, MSF coordinator in Honduras, to the Desk, 5 August 1988, (in French).

**Extract:**
6 July: MSF press release in the newspaper *El Tiempo*, explaining our position and possibility of withdrawal if we cannot reach agreement and the committees continue to take an extreme position.

On 7 July, the Committee sent a letter to Damaso Fecci, a UNHCR official in Geneva, asking that MSF be replaced.

**Letter** from Colomoncagua and San Antonio refugees to Damaso Fecci, UNHCR representative for Central America in Geneva, 7 July 1988 (in Spanish).

**Extract:**
Given the poor medical care provided by MSF personnel, the mistreatment of patients, the lack of concern shown by its staff, the lack of drugs available for the community, the refusal to listen to our complaints concerning its humanitarian assistance, and its threats of withdrawal from the camps. As a recent example, there were no personnel present in the camp during the night of 3 July. As a result, Senora Elia Ramires, 25 years old, from the Vegas sub-camp spent all night in a serious condition without anyone to turn to for help. Given all this we are obliged to ask you to withdraw the agency MSF from the refugee programme, as it is not complying with its humanitarian mandate. We are writing to you because you occupy a position of high responsibility in UNHCR in Geneva and because you have witnessed MSF’s attitude first hand. We ask that you contract another humanitarian organisation that is concerned with the medical well being of refugees as soon as possible.

On 8 July 1988, Rony Brauman and Magdi Ibrahim announced to the refugees that MSF was going to withdraw from the Colomoncagua and San Antonio camps due to the on-going criticism, unjustified accusations and sporadic physical attacks directed towards MSF. Nevertheless, the team continued to be on-call 24 hours in order to respond to emergencies and provide care for patients with chronic illnesses.

**Letter** from Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, MSF coordinator in Honduras, to the Programme Manager, 5 August 1988 (in French).

**Extract:**
8 July: informal discussions with several camp coordinators announcing our decision to withdraw from the camp after Damaso Fecchi received a letter containing false accusations against us. We continue the following activities: on-call 24 hour emergency service from the village; monitoring patients under long-term care (asthmatics, epileptics, etc.); follow-up of patients sent to Tegucigalpa for appointments; the distribution of basic drugs to health leaders; and finally, the distribution of several copies of Tiempo with our press release. San
Antonio: meeting with the camp committee, which promises to respond to the letter written to Rony during his visit. At present, have requested UNHCR to replace MSF. We announced our decision to maintain only emergency care from Colomoncagua... we distributed several newspapers containing our press release.

I held a meeting in the camp. It was funny. It was a meeting to notify the refugees of our decision. There was tremendous hostility. Around a thousand people were gathered in a huge amphitheatre. Using a loudspeaker I told them that various meetings had failed. In the end, they booed me. But when the meeting broke up, several people came up to talk to me and said, ‘You know, you shouldn’t believe that everyone who yelled necessarily thinks that way.’ Some women approached me, including some who had participated in the earlier negotiation. They confirmed what I knew: ‘We’re not free to do what we want in this camp.’

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

The same day, the public health ministry criticised the committees’ negative attitude toward MSF.

Refugees’ Representatives hinder the work of aid agencies, El Tiempo, Honduras 8 July 1988 (in Spanish).

Extract:
There is no logical explanation for the hostile attitude towards organisations as respectable and as professional as Médecins Sans Frontières. There have been attempts to limit their freedom of movement in the camps, goods have been taken from their stores, their staff have been slandered, and various forms of sabotage have been directed towards the actions of people and organisations who are only trying to do good.

On 14 and 16 July, the ‘Salvadoran refugee community of Colomoncagua’ published two press releases in succession accusing the MSF teams of failing to do their work and UNHCR of abandoning the refugees.

Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, MSF coordinator in Honduras, to the Programme Manager, 5 August 1988. (in French).

Extract:
11 July: I asked for an appointment with Waldo [Villalpando - UNHCR representative in Honduras] to tell him about our decision to pull out of the camp after the letter sent to Fecci that contained false accusations against the Colomoncagua team. He took note... and promised to monitor the incident (I had to make him a copy of the famous letter; oddly, they hadn’t received a copy).

On 11 July, the MSF coordinator told UNHCR that the organisation had decided to withdraw its teams from the camps.


Extract:
From the Salvadoran refugee community in Colomoncagua, Honduras, to the entire national and international solidarity movement, humanitarian organisations, ecumenical religious movements, non-governmental organisations, friendly governments and workers of the world. On 28 June 1988, a hunger strike began for an indefinite period... We declare to the national and international community that our fasting will last as long as the following demands are not met: ...a review of the humanitarian assistance provided by MSF in the camps, which we consider to be totally deficient.
On 22 July 1988, the MSF Board of Directors decided to close all programs in Honduras by 31 December, following a long discussion concerning the potential impacts of such a decision on refugee safety.

Minutes of the MSF France Board meeting, 22 July 1988 (in French).

Extract:

a long discussion took place. The problem can be seen from various angles:

breaking off with one side means taking the other’s side.

In this situation, the ‘other’ is the Salvadoran extreme-right or the Honduran army.

continuing to work with the committee’s means working with people who behave like executioners in the camps.

We can’t ask an MSF team to hide them.

If Médecins Sans Frontières makes public its differences with the committees, the local right wing and left-wing press, and the French press will use it to their advantage and perhaps put the refugees and the teams in danger. If we send journalists into the camps, what will they see? The refugees are afraid and don’t talk. If the journalists come back with information they can use, it might provoke the Honduran military to intervene and we would then be responsible for new executions, which would, in turn, further strengthen the committees.

The departure of MSF might be the least dangerous action for the refugees (because it would reduce tensions). Some are shocked that Médecins Sans Frontières is leaving the camps.

FINAL DECISION ACCEPTED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS: to withdraw by 31 December 1988 in a calm and responsible way, in agreement with UNHCR, and giving enough time for a suitable replacement to be found. We will see how the situation develops. Médecins Sans Frontières will not launch a press campaign. The withdrawal will proceed in phases so UNHCR can find a replacement agency best suited to meet refugees’ needs.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

On 26 July, the MSF coordinator in Honduras told the local staff of the decision to halt all programs at the end of 1988.

Letter from Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, to MSF medical staff MSF Honduras coordinator, 26 July 1988 (in Spanish).

Extract:

To: MSF medical and para-medical personnel

For your information, after the meetings held and according to instructions from the President of MSF, Dr. Rony Brauman, it has been decided to suspend Médécins Sans Frontières’ activities in the refugee camps in Honduras as of 31 December 1988. After working in the camps for eight years, during which we have counted on your collaboration, we very much regret having to hand over to another agency, which will be assigned shortly.
Throughout July, the MSF volunteers faced a hostile climate in the camps.

**Letter** from MSF Colomoncagua coordinator, to Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, MSF Honduras coordinator, July 1988 (in French).

**Extract:**
The refugees’ new strategy since Monday requires us to see all the patients (on Saturday I would have said that the community health workers didn’t know anything and that MSF was taking responsibility for seeing patients). In addition, troublemakers bother us as we work, upsetting the patients. We face aggressive patients who demand that we give them vitamins or place them in feeding centres, etc. There is agitation on the part of the community health workers, too, who take turns challenging us, saying, ‘why don’t you give me this treatment?’ and so on. Tuesday at the screening, same thing. Max and Carmen left. I saw patients until 4 pm after which I had an appointment with the community and UNHCR. There was a large demonstration organised demanding MSF’s immediate withdrawal, saying that MSF had threatened to pull out on 29 June, so they had to withdraw now. They say that there is no longer an accord so they request UNHCR to find another humanitarian agency. A third letter read and sent to Jean-Pierre Hocké was delivered to HCR. The same kind of demonstration awaited us in Quebrachito and Limones. Team’s position after the demonstration: Carmen and Christine don’t want to work anymore, don’t want to do screening under such conditions (pressure from community health workers and patients). They want to go back to a straight system of emergency care. They think we should continue to work at least until the next negotiations with Leila.

**Letter** from MSF Colomoncagua coordinator, to MSF Programme Manager, 14 August 1988 (in French).

**Extract:**
At this point, we think it will be very difficult to salvage a situation that has worsened over the last month. With the coordination and the community calling on us to withdraw immediately, there is no room for discussion. We are under constant attack: community health workers challenge our treatments, insult us, set the patients against us, call us pro-American agents, pressure us physically; provocateurs interrupt medical visits. Don’t forget that this is an attack against the agency, not against the current team, and that we threatened to withdraw on 29 June.

We are working in spite of the situation because we don’t think that returning to emergency status until December is the right solution (the health workers refusing to have us see the patients). That would have caused a rapid decline in camp health conditions... Right now, we don’t see any solution to the problem, and there seems no point in reconsidering the decision to withdraw at the end of the year. Furthermore, to go back on the demands we have made, with the support of UNHCR, seems even more utopian. We should keep in mind that any discussion with the refugees is blocked right now. They want us to leave immediately and they are not respecting our five points. Let’s hope that our point of view will be taken into consideration during the discussion in Paris.

On 28 and 29 July, the MSF team tried to renew dialogue with the committees.

**Letter** from Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, MSF coordinator in Honduras to the Programme Manager, 5 August 1988 (in French).

**Extract:**
July 28: San Antonio – Meeting with the committee: considers it unnecessary to respond to Rony’s letter; the nurse, Carmen, no longer has any work to do in San Antonio; the people are ready to suffer; their only interlocutor is UNHCR, which they will hold responsible for the consequences... That day they insisted on making their point of view heard: the team must be present in the camp around the clock, more medicines must be provided to the health leaders. In addition, they brought out a six-page list, suggesting they are fairly well organised. The contents of the list were quite strange (including equipment for minor surgery and plaster cast supplies), equipment for health centres (no explanation), setting up a nursing school; more supplies for dentists as well as midwives; more health supplies.

At the end, the committee asked MSF to leave the camp. They said that this was a community decision, that the community was ready to suffer the consequences and that UNHCR was responsible for whatever might happen.

July 31: the team resumed nighttime call in the camp.

On 10 August, the UN High Commissioner for Refugees, Jean-Pierre Hocké, asked MSF to consider delaying its decision to withdraw.
**Letter** from Jean-Pierre Hocké, UN High Commissioner for Refugees, to Dr. Rony Braunman, Médecins Sans Frontières, 10 August 1988 (in French).

**Extract:**
I want to assure you that I understand fully the reasons for your decision to leave Honduras. You should know, however, that UNHCR intends to make every effort to maintain the humanitarian and medical standards that permit it to carry out its mandate. To that end, we must, at all cost, expand the humanitarian space that has been progressively threatened. MSF's support is thus essential. That is why we must continue the dialogue that we established together. I would like to appeal to our long-standing and productive collaboration, as well as to the results achieved to date in terms of refugee health, which must be maintained. I would like... the head of the Latin America and Caribbean bureau... to meet with you in Paris to take a close look both at the current situation and at making those arrangements that would allow MSF to delay, if not reconsider, implementing its decision.

**Leaflet** distributed in the Mesa Grande camp on repatriation day (in Spanish).

**Extract:**
We criticise the anti-humanitarian and mean attitude of the agency Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), who added to our problems by their lack of interest in our well-being.

**On 14 August, the Refugee Committee expelled the MSF team from the Colomoncagua camp. Henceforth the team would have to provide emergency care from outside the camp.**

**Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, MSF Coordinator in Honduras, Mission Report, December 1988 (in French).**

**Extract:**
On August 14, the team will be expelled from the Colomoncagua camp, refused access by the refugee committees. As of that date we will see only the emergencies the refugees want us to see, sometimes in the village or at the entrance to the camp.

**Minutes** of the MSF France Board meeting, 19 August 1988 (in French).

**Extract:**
Honduras: Magdi Ibrahim, Honduras coordinator, is in Paris and provided a report on the situation in Honduras. He noted that the teams are subjected to extreme intimidation. He said that tensions in Colomoncagua risk spreading to Mesa Grande and San Antonio. Brigitte Vasset read the letter from High Commissioner Hocké, who wants to review all possible solutions with MSF before making a final decision to withdraw the teams. The Board of Directors instructed the Honduras managers to hold discussions with UNHCR in hope of finding a solution.

**The Honduran press reported on the conflict among the committees, UNHCR and MSF.**

**Extract:**
Sources of contamination could emerge near the camps of Salvadoran refugees because they have refused the assistance of Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), alleging that the European organisation has not taken care of them properly.

Interviewed in Tegucigalpa, the administrator of MSF, Anne Bugnoy, confirmed that their had reached an ‘impasse’ with the Salvadoran refugees during the last three months, but that they were looking for a solution to the conflict through dialogue with the displaced and the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).


**Extract:**
The sad exception was the agency Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) whose representatives, both in Tegucigalpa and in Paris, were completely negative and insensitive to our problems, trying to impose their own criteria that has maintained the health of the community in a critical state, and threatening to leave the camps immediately if we do not accept their conditions.


**Extract:**
The Salvadoran refugee community based in Intibuca and Ocotepeque are calling for the withdrawal of the French philanthropic institution Médecins Sans Frontières from the camps because it considers that the health care it provides is inefficient.

Patricia Murillo, ‘Critical conditions in the refugee camps’, *ABC* (Spain) (in Spanish). (no date indicated).

**Extract:**
Médecins Sans Frontières, a medical and para-medical organisation that has been present in the camps for many years, has been accused of treating thousands of Salvadorans inhumanely and with contempt. The most serious accusation against the organisation is that the personnel that provide medical assistance to the refugees are not qualified medical professionals. Denunciations from the Mesa Grande, San Antonio and Colomoncagua camps state that the refugees are in the hands of ‘usurers’ of the title of doctors.

Bertrand de la Grange, ‘To prevent backing the guerilla grip on the camp population, the organisation Médecins sans Frontières gives up assisting Salvadoran refugees in Honduras,’ *Le Monde* (France), 16 December 1988 (in French.)

**Extract:**
This strengthened Dr. Brauman’s conviction that MSF could no longer work in the Salvadoran refugee camps. He announced that his organisation would leave Honduras at the end of the year. In the ‘interest of political balance’ and because its presence was no longer required, MSF would also close its programs serving the 23,000 Nicaraguan refugees in Honduras.

Anticipating this decision, the Salvadoran committees demanded MSF’s withdrawal. Beginning in mid-August, they denied medical staff access to the camps and demanded that MSF be replaced by a ‘more sympathetic’ organisation. Incidents increased. Armed with studded clubs, the refugees threatened MSF staff. Their jeeps were seized twice. Following suit, the underground guerrilla radio station, Radio Venceremos, denounced MSF on its airwaves as ‘mercenaries working in the service of U.S. imperialism.’

On 2 September, Rony Brauman notified the UN High Commissioner for Refugees that MSF could no longer respond to health needs of the refugees due to the harsh treatment directed towards MSF volunteers.

**Letter** from Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President, to Jean-Pierre Hocké, UN High Commissioner for Refugees (in French).

**Extract:**
You are surely aware that the situation has unfortunately not been resolved and that our teams are still prohibited from entering the Colomoncagua and Mesa Grande camps. We can no longer provide any health care, even in life-threatening emergencies: this week, your colleagues had to call a Honduran doctor to Mesa Grande to treat an obstetrical emergency that could have had serious consequences. Faced with such opposition from the refugee committees, I regret to inform you that we can no longer respond to refugee health needs.

We are currently replacing a large part of our teams in the hope that fresh minds will provide stronger support for our efforts to calm the situation in spite of the current difficulties. The fact remains that we cannot ask
our volunteers to subject themselves indefinitely to such treatment.

On 6 September, the UNHCR Honduras representative conducted a tumultuous visit to the camps. The Committees refused to discuss the ‘MSF problem.’

Telex from the UNHCR Honduras representative to Rony Brauman and Frédérique Marodon, MSF Paris, 8 September 1988 (in English).

Extract:
Re: MSF’s problems in western Honduras.
First: On 6 and 7 September, the deputy delegate and a health ministry representative led a mission to the Salvadoran refugee camps in western Honduras. They delivered comments to the refugee leadership regarding the letter that Colomoncagua refugees sent to UNHCR. We met with refugee coordinators in three camps and participated in in-depth exchanges.
Second:
AAA: Refugees in the three camps were disappointed and angered that UNHCR is not changing its position. Refugees in all three camps made it clear that their decision to expel MSF from all camps was irreversible and not subject to reconsideration. Accusing UNHCR of failing to take the situation seriously, they also urged the agency to take necessary measures to quickly provide alternative care.
BBB: At the San Antonio camp, refugees held a demonstration, displaying bed-ridden patients to the crowd. These supposedly ill people had been seen walking towards their beds. An elderly woman appeared to be dying. Despite UNHCR’s efforts, this woman was not authorised to leave the camp to be examined by an MSF doctor.
CCC: Several thousand refugees at the Colomoncagua camp held a demonstration and also displayed patients. The tenor was aggressive and hostile. The refugees accused MSF of responsibility for their past suffering and UNHCR for their current hardships. Although the delegate had been invited to speak to the crowd, his efforts to deliver a coherent message were constantly interrupted by slogans like, ‘MSF out of the camps! UNHCR, don’t be manipulated by North American imperialism! The people, united, will never be defeated!’
DDD: The refugee coordinators at Mesa Grande were less hostile but no less determined to uphold MSF’s rejection. They were in favour of a mission from UNHCR headquarters.

On 12 September, UNHCR issued a press release supporting MSF’s position.

Telex from UNHCR Honduras representative to MSF Paris, 9 September 1988 (in English and Spanish).

Extract:
In response to your telex yesterday regarding MSF’s problems in Honduras, Bow [the UNHCR deputy representative in Honduras] proposes the following steps:
First: publication of a press release along these lines:
The United Nations Commissioner for Refugees acknowledges the Salvadoran Refugees’ decision to prevent Médecins Sans Frontières from working in the refugee camps of Mesa Grande, San Antonio and Colomocagua despite its appeal. The High Commissioner deeply regrets a decision imposed by force which is totally unjustified and that puts the health of the refugee population at risk. Médecins Sans Frontières has participated in the refugee program since the beginning and has largely proven its technical capacity as well as its dedication to the humanitarian cause of assisting refugees. As proof of this dedication Médecins Sans Frontières has confirmed that it will remain available to provide assistance in all the areas where there are refugees until new provisions for their health care have been organised. The situation will be examined by the competent government authorities and UNHCR in order to find an acceptable alternative for the health of the refugee population and the accomplishment of the UNHCR mandate.

Bertrand de la Grange ‘To prevent backing the guerilla grip on the camp population, the organisation Médecins sans Frontières gives up assisting Salvadoran refugees in Honduras’, Le Monde (France), 16 December 1988 (in French).

Extract:
In the face of this wave of outrageous accusations, UNHCR took a position in support of MSF, stating that it was ‘impossible to find another equally-competent organisation’ in this area and that ‘no serious organisation would be able to accept the bulk of the refugees’ demands.’ The refugee committees promptly accused UNHCR of participating with MSF in a U.S.-inspired ‘plot’ to force the refugees to return home.

With the Refugee Committees demanding MSF’s departure, UNHCR searched for a replacement organisation.
On 23 September the MSF Board of Directors confirmed its decision not to speak to the press on this subject in order to protect refugees from possible reprisals by the Honduran armed forces.

On 30 September, three MSF volunteers were victims of an ambush while crossing the San Antonio camp.

Dr. Magdi Ibrahim, MSF Coordinator in Honduras


Extract:
September 30: As three MSF members returned from fetching the nurse on call in the village of San Antonio, they were ambushed by refugees. (The San Antonio camp must be crossed to enter or leave the village). Given the danger our teams face responding to emergency calls,
we decided to no longer respond to them. The confusion continued until early October. At that time, in response to a request from the UNHCR office in Tegucigalpa, the Ministry sent medical teams to replace us.

On 1 October 1988, representatives from the National Committee for Refugees and UNHCR shared with the press their criticism of the refugee committees’ refusal to let MSF treat patients in the camps.

‘Given the rejection: Médecins Sans Frontières withdraws from the refugee camps’, Heraldo (Honduras), 1 October 1988 (in Spanish).

Extract:
The civil servant said that the situation was very tense because the lives of many children, women and old people were at risk due to the whims of the group that controls the camps. He implores UNHCR and the government to change the medical assistance agency.

On 6 October, a commission composed of WHO, UNHCR and Honduran health ministry representatives evaluated the situation in the camps and confirmed that the refugees’ health status was satisfactory.


Extract:
October 6: a three-member commission (comprised of a WHO physician, a physician chosen by UNCR and a third representing the Ministry) will visit the three Salvadoran camps to evaluate conditions. The commission’s report will include recommendations, several of which will address the balance between technical standards and the camps’ reality (that is, the Central American reality). As of 19 October we will be completely discharged of any medical responsibility.

"Medical needs were fairly limited. All emergencies could be handled. Thousands of Hondurans lived in the area without medical care. There were hospitals that could accept emergencies and there was a health clinic. The humiliation and violence brought to bear on the MSF team far outweighed the medical challenges. As the committee became increasingly radical, it became impossible to work. The MSF team was denied any independence and dignity."

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

In mid-October 1988, journalist René Backmann published an article in the French weekly, Le Nouvel Observateur, based on reporting conducted in July. In the article, he described the refugee committees as ‘disciples of Pol Pot’, and described the lynching incident that occurred in Colomoncagua.


Extract:
The little dictators who control the “peoples’ committees” in some of the Salvadoran refugee camps in Honduras look more like Pol Pot disciples than students of Che Guevara. Thousands of exiled farmers are living under a Khmer Rouge-like reign of terror. “I had never seen such a climate of violence and intolerance,” says MSF’s Christine Durnerin, who also participated in a mission in Beirut. “In the end, I couldn’t take it. I left the camp where I was working after trying, without success, to prevent a lynching.”

On 17 October, the Honduran press announced MSF’s withdrawal.

"MSF abandons the refugees" El Tiempo (Honduras) 17 October 1988 (in Spanish).

Extract:
The director of Médecins Sans Frontières, Magdi Ibrahim, confirmed yesterday that the health and sanitation activities in the refugee camps in the country would be suspended as of 31 December. The director regretted this withdrawal from the refugee camps. He said that the health norms in the camps that followed the World Health Organisation’s recommendations did not satisfy the refugee leaders who demand drugs that they are unable to prescribe and handle.
On 18 October, the committees announced their decision to expel MSF in the Salvadoran press.

Press release of the committee’s, El Mundo (San Salvador) 17 October 1988 (in Spanish).

Extract:
The Salvadoran refugees in Honduras denounce to the Salvadoran people, human rights organisations and the international community: Given the negligence of the work of the French agency “Médecins Sans Frontières” we, the refugees, have decided to expel them from the program.

On 21 October 1988, the MSF Board of Directors was notified that the teams would leave the Honduran camps on 15 November. In the following weeks, medical staff sent by the Honduran health ministry gradually replaced MSF volunteers.

Minutes of the MSF France Board meeting, 21 October 1988 (in French).

Extract:
Official announcement of Médecins Sans Frontières’ departure. We are leaving the western camps on 15 November. A logistician will remain on-site near each camp to resolve remaining problems. The three-person commission that came to evaluate the situation in the camps found the refugee health status is satisfactory but hygiene is terrible. The refugees accepted the commission, which proposed an emergency plan: medical visits and vaccinations after January, a Honduran physician will be in the camp, supervised by one of the agencies already present to avoid complicating the situation UNHCR is more favourable of the Honduran Red Cross. An announcement was made in El Salvador on 18 October that the refugees had decided to expel MSF!

Regarding the ‘press campaign’, René Backmann’s article in the Nouvel Observateur describes conditions in July (lynching, etc.). René called the committees the equals of Pol Pot. Le Monde’s correspondent, B. de la Grange, will arrive in Honduras soon.”

Public opinion about the refugees is at its lowest. Locally, the press call them guerrillas.

New development: an open war rages inside the Mesa Grande camp. Some 1,500 people are to be repatriated. Some refugees are asking that MSF remain. The team no longer wants to leave Mesa. Contact has not been cut off because the refugees continue to be treated outside the camp.

On 16 November 1988, the French daily, Le Monde, published an article by its Central American correspondent, Bertrand de la Grange. The article, run as the headline ‘The organisation Médecins Sans Frontières gives up assisting Salvadoran refugees in Honduras’, describes in detail how the refugee committees are systematically bleeding the camp population and recounts the conflict between the committees and MSF’s teams.

Bertrand de la Grange ‘To prevent backing the guerilla grip on the camp population, the organisation Médecins sans Frontières gives up assisting Salvadoran refugees in Honduras’, Le Monde (France), 16 December 1988 (in French).

Extract:
However, confrontations between the armed forces and the guerrillas have increased since the beginning of the year. The latter benefited from the refugee camps’ presence nearby. The crisis between Médecins Sans Frontières and the three Salvadoran refugee committees in Honduras — Colomoncagua, Mesa Grande and San Antonio — had been brewing for a long time. The refugee camps served, in effect, as sanctuaries for FMLN guerrillas, providing the fighters with combatants, medicine and uniforms produced in camp workshops. For the system to operate properly, the foreign NGOs working in the camps, which were under UNHCR coordination, had to collude in the process. Médecins Sans Frontières, which had been working in Honduras for eight years, wanted to limit its involvement in the Salvadoran conflict to meeting medical needs. Neutrality was unacceptable to the committees and the FMLN, hence the source of the crisis...

What was the source of the relentless opposition to MSF? “It’s a political problem,” said Dr. Alain Destexhe, currently visiting Honduras on behalf of MSF. “Unlike the other NGOs in the camps, we always maintained a certain distance from the refugees’ political involvement or, I should say, from the committees’ involvement,” Dr. Brauman said. “The committees don’t want anything more to do with MSF because we are the only ones not openly supporting their cause.”

The FMLN agreed to the repatriation of the Mesa Grande refugees because the camp’s distance from the border (40 km, or 25 miles) limited its strategic value. That is why over the last 12 months, more than 7,000 refugees of the 11,000 living at Mesa Grande in October 1987 were able to return to El Salvador. They departed in three waves. The Front took the contrary position in Colomoncagua and San Antonio. Those camps were very close to the border, so people were constantly coming and going to and from El Salvador. Honduran authorities recognised that they could not intercept the “refugees” leaving for or returning from combat.

The FMLN had to have refugees available to it. The com-
The committees were charged with strictly enforcing orders from above - by any means necessary - on this issue as on all others. According to a knowledgeable witness, a committee member from that period, five refugees were executed in 1985 in Colomoncagua following disagreements with Osmin, the FMLN representative in the camp. To maintain their control over the refugees, the committees cultivated a climate of on-going mobilisation. Using sharpened sticks, they dug out and built fortifications to defend themselves against an imminent ‘transfer plan’ that the Honduran army was supposedly about to implement to move them away from the border.

The committees identified those people likely to leave and inspected mail. They relied on various forms of intimidation to prevent them from leaving, including reducing or denying food allocations. They also insulted, punished and systematically harassed people. These conditions prevented a UNHCR representative from fulfilling his role as protector of the refugees’ right to repatriation. “We had to agree to night time departures in hope of slipping past the committees,” he told us. “From that point we, too, were attacked physically on order of the committees.” “It’s true, we did not support repatriation in general because the war was not over and conditions were not in place for our return to El Salvador,” acknowledged Rosalie, then a member of the Colomoncagua committee. Many refugees still recalled army atrocities.

UNHCR bears some responsibility for the worsening situation in the refugee camps. Several of the UN agency’s officials acknowledge that they erred in acceding to most of the demands made by the refugees, who were manipulated by the committees. Among the solutions being considered was finding a third country to accept those who no longer wanted to stay in the camps but feared returning to El Salvador. Several countries, specifically the US and Canada, might have responded positively to UNHCR efforts in this regard.

But the committees could count on the support of very powerful NGOs, like Caritas and, in particular, Catholic Relief Service, which were charged by UNHCR with humanising the key aid programs in the camps (including education and production workshops). “The most outrageous thing is that humanitarian organisations were complicit in this system of oppression,” a doctor said. “Humanitarian aid led in the end to strengthening the committees’ power and transforming the camps into little gulags.” MSF preferred to throw in the towel.

The Honduran press picked up the information in the Le Monde article. Committee representatives referred to it in a statement addressed to MSF.

‘According to a Salvadoran organisation, the refugees expelled MSF’, AFP (France), 16 November 1988 (in Spanish).

Extract:
On Tuesday, the CNR (National Commission for Repopulation) announced that the French humanitarian organisation Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) was expelled from Salvadoran refugee camps in Honduras for ‘failing to treat’ exiles. The CNR, which coordinates refugee activities in Honduras, refuted the claims of MSF staff that the organisation could no longer perform its work because of the control exerted by the committees over the refugee population. ‘The refugees expelled MSF because they were not receiving the necessary care,’ said CNR leader Inocente Orellana. Around 14,000 refugees are housed in the Mesa Grande, Colomoncagua and San Antonio camps. Some twenty physicians working in those camps will leave Honduras by December 31, according to the group’s officials, who reported ‘significant political tension’ inside ‘the Honduran concentration camps.’ Orellana accused MSF of ‘failing to provide medical care to ill persons, being negligent in dealing with the transfer of patients to hospitals in the capital, Tegucigalpa, and, in many cases, refusing to provide medication.’ He further described MSF’s statements that FMLN guerrillas are ‘manipulating’ refugees as ‘completely false.’
not withdraw from assisting the refugees, but was expelled by the Salvadoran refugee community in Colomoncagua, San Antonio and Mesa Grande. The reason for this expulsion was the dissatisfaction of thousands of Salvadoran refugees with the inadequate work of MSF and their failure to improve this situation. MSF's accusation that "the committees comply with orders from above" shows how little the agency understands in suggesting that we are incapable of making our own decisions. This accusation seems to come from an agency dedicated to spying or military intelligence... We do not recognise in MSF any moral competence to have an opinion on problems... We are grateful to a small group of MSF workers who understood the humanitarian character of their work and who did not agree with the decisions of the management of the private agency. We are grateful to the people and government of France whose donations helped alleviate our suffering.

On 26 November, *Le Monde* published two responses to de la Grande’s article. Rony Brauman’s made clear that MSF’s withdrawal was based not on a guerrilla presence in the camps but on the Committees’ harassment of the teams. Antonio Martínez-Uribe, European representative of the FDR-FMLN’s political-diplomatic commission denounced MSF’s position.

On the other side of the border, the death squads were very busy. They all came from the same mould and they knew each other... we didn’t want our announcement to be used for police or military purposes, which would have been disastrous. That’s why, after the Bertrand de la Grange article, I obfuscated a bit and spoke a little naively when I said, ‘We don’t know if there are guerrillas in the camp.’ He laid everything at the feet of the guerrillas and he was right. But at MSF, we didn’t think it was our job to get involved in such sensitive territory... We certainly didn’t want to be responsibility for potentially perverse uses of our statements, so we kept a low profile, which put us in a very awkward position... We took a low-key position that did not reflect the gravity of the problem.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

In a late December article in the French daily, *La Croix*, Chantal Messié, MSF medical coordinator in Colomoncagua, refused to confirm that the Committees had willingly starved children to turn them into martyrs. She said only that she no longer wished to work with MSF “after what happened.”


Extract:
The denials of Chantal Messié, MSF’s Colomoncagua coordinator until late October, are even more troubling. Still in the village next to the camp, she refused to confirm the serious charges made by her former employer. ‘There were plenty of practical reasons justifying our disagreement with the committees... But I have no concrete information regarding the two deaths, the dehydrated children and the suicides... We should not have allowed ourselves to be forced out like that without trying to have dialogue.’ [...] According to the staff of other humanitarian aid organisations working in the camps, MSF’s approach was the source of friction with the committees. “They clashed with the refugees because MSF wanted to limit itself to medical assistance,” explained a European volunteer who lived in the camps for four years. Unlike the other organisations, MSF did not encourage refugees to take responsibility for themselves, while the committees were always asking that more health staff be trained. “The MSF people stayed only six months and kept their distance from the refugees,” said Father Denis Leder, a North American Jesuit living at Colomoncagua. “They were suspicious of the health assistants. They refused to make medicine available to the assistants because, according to MSF, they would take...
the medicines to the guerrillas as soon as their training was over.”

In January 1989, the last MSF volunteers left the Nicaraguan refugee camps of La Mosquitia and Danli. MSF continued its work in El Salvador.

In the 12 September 1988 edition of the Belgian daily newspaper, *Le Soir*, a UN official in Latin America denounced, among other developments, the Committees’ control of the Salvadoran camps and UNHCR’s impotence in the face of the situation.

Bruno Van Hoorebeke (sociologist, UN official in Latin America, 1982-1989), ‘UN Connection in Central America,’ *Le Soir* (Belgium), 12 September 1988 (in French.)

Extract:
In western Honduras, the Salvadoran refugee camps are under the iron-fisted control of hard-line committees representing the FMLN’s most extreme wing (Pol Pot leanings.) These committees terrorise the refugees, mostly simple peasants, and lay down the law both to the volunteer aid organisations and to UNHCR, which is unable to compel the committees to respect the organisation’s founding principles of neutrality, which requires it to be non-political... At the end of 1988, the group Médecins Sans Frontières decided to leave Honduras rather than yield to pressure from the ‘Committees.’ The Salvadorans have the right to an international official for every 1,000 refugees, while in the Sudan, Ethiopia and Pakistan, a few field officers are responsible for millions of refugees.

At the end of 1989, the same committees that rejected MSF one year earlier agreed that the agency would provide repatriation medical care to all Salvadoran refugees in the Honduran camps.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes

Extract:
Our departure from Honduras last year created a lively debate, given the ethical and political problems it posed. I only mention that mission today because we closed it last January in a climate that was certainly easing but remained appalling. Today all the refugees are preparing to leave Honduras to return to El Salvador and it is, in principle, MSF that will provide health care during the return, with the agreement of the same committees that blocked our access to the camps one year ago.

That didn’t keep us from continuing our work on the other side of the border, in El Salvador, where MSF teams were working officially with displaced people. MSF was also unofficially recruiting doctors who were leaving for El Salvador to work for the guerrillas. Those doctors were relying partially on MSF funding but were not working under the organisation’s formal sponsorship. I went to see them several times as I was going through El Salvador and twice through Honduras. Later no one crossed except through El Salvador to avoid mixing work in the camps and work connected to the guerrillas. It was easier, too. After our final departure from the Honduras camps, we continued working in El Salvador and they were respectful of us. A year later, when all the refugees had returned to their country, we sent teams of MSF volunteers based in El Salvador to Colomancagua, San Antonio and Mesa Grande to provide medical support. The refugees were pleased. They welcomed us and there were no problems.

Dr. Rony Brauman, MSF France President and Director of Central America Programmes