Measles in the Democratic Republic of Congo: an urgent wake-up call to adapt vaccination implementation strategies

S. P. Gerard,1 E. Kyrousis,2 R. Zachariah3

http://dx.doi.org/10.5588/ph.13.0099

All countries in Africa have made a commitment to eliminate measles by 2020. This is laudable, as measles elimination will have a crucial impact on reducing childhood mortality. An important operational challenge is the resurgence of measles outbreaks in a number of countries; one of the main reasons for this is that many children are being missed by vaccination programmes. In the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), outbreaks continue unabated despite repeated vaccination campaigns and high reported coverage by the Ministry of Health. This paper brings into question the effectiveness of the current approach and the need for better reflection on bottlenecks and strategies that can address this issue. If we are to eliminate measles by 2020, there will be a need for impetus, a need for decisive action to reach that goal and prevent unnecessary childhood deaths in countries such as the DRC.

Measles outbreaks are ongoing in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), with more than 130,000 cases and at least 1600 deaths reported in 2011, mainly among children aged <5 years.

Like other countries in Africa, the DRC has made a commitment to control and eliminate measles by 2020. This is laudable, as measles elimination will have a crucial impact on reducing childhood mortality. However, a pre-requisite to making such a goal viable is the effective implementation of vaccination activities and the achievement of high measles vaccination coverage levels within the population.

In recent years, there has been a resurgence of measles outbreaks in a number of countries; one of the main reasons for this is that many children are being missed by the vaccination programmes. In the DRC, an estimated 800,000 children were not vaccinated by routine services in 2011 despite high vaccination coverage reported by the Ministry of Health (MoH). As part of the outbreak response, emergency vaccination campaigns were required in addition to routine health facility-based immunisations. Although these activities occurred in 2011 and 2012, and despite the high reported vaccination coverage, the epidemic has continued, with over 140,000 additional measles cases and about 3000 deaths to date. This raises the question as to why vaccination interventions on the field have such a limited impact. At the very least, it brings into question the effectiveness of the current approach and highlights the need for reflection on implementation bottlenecks and strategies that can lead to real change.

So what are some of these bottlenecks? First, access to routine vaccination services is extremely limited. The population is spread over large geographic areas with very limited road and public transport networks. Second, in several provinces, health clinics perform poorly due to shortages of health staff, ill-equipped health structures, irregular supplies of vaccines and inadequate cold chain equipment for vaccine storage. Third, the logistics challenge of accessing children in distant areas is overwhelming.

In a recent measles workshop in Kinshasa, provincial medical directors reported a lack of refrigeration material and fuel, which at best reached 50% of requirements. For example, in the health zone of Yam-buku (Equatorial Province), where Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) supported the local MoH in responding to the measles outbreak, no refrigerators were available. Nevertheless, more than 110,000 children aged 6 months – 15 years, living in an area more than half the size of Belgium, needed to be vaccinated, with a single motorcycle being the sole means of transport. In Yalimbongo, Oriental Province, the situation was similar: most of the 40,000 children who needed vaccinating lived in areas accessible only by boat, several hours away from a health centre that had only one functioning refrigerator and four cold boxes.

In addition, there is a problem of unreliable vaccination coverage, involving exaggeration of real coverage figures. While the average Supplementary Immunisation Activities (SIA) coverage in DRC is presented as being above the 95% coverage target, data gathered in the field in several districts differ widely. In July 2011, over 95% vaccination coverage was reported in vaccination campaigns conducted in Yalimbongo by the MoH, with World Health Organization (WHO) support. This figure is in striking contrast to findings from a vaccination coverage survey conducted by MSF in August 2012, showing that only 30% of children aged 1–5 years were actually vaccinated. The story is similar in Yaleko, Oriental Province, where a vaccination coverage survey conducted by MSF revealed that only 38% of children aged 12 months – 5 years were vaccinated against measles. Only 24% of the vaccinated children had received two doses of the vaccine. Of all children vaccinated, 55% had been vaccinated through campaigns, and barely 20% through routine services — the latter supposedly the cornerstone of any immunisation programme.

To increase the implementation and effectiveness of vaccination activities in the DRC, a number of stra-
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New plans are being developed by the MoH, with funding support from Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunisation, the WHO, the United Nations International Childrens’ Emergency Fund and the Central Emergency Response Fund for carrying out new, large-scale vaccination campaigns in the coming months. A total of 10.7 million US dollars is now available for vaccinating children against measles in four provinces. The imperative this time is to use these resources wisely by adapting the time frame and approach in a manner that ensures that mobilised resources are sufficient and that they will result in children being both vaccinated and protected against measles. Importantly, the differing operational challenges in the different health zones imply that the ‘one size fits all’ approach, which is inadequate and ineffective, needs to be done away with.

The striking differences between the vaccination coverage reported by the administration and the field-based coverage surveys suggest that administrative coverage figures are unreliable and deceptive. There is thus a clear need to rely on field-based vaccination coverage surveys.

Routine vaccination activities at health facilities also need to be improved and adapted. Increased availability of cold chain equipment is required at the health centre level, increasing the proximity of vaccine availability at the population level. Missed opportunities need to be avoided, for example by opening vials even when only a few children are present, despite the consequence of vaccine waste, and vaccinating children aged >12 months or previously non-vaccinated children.

The sharp reduction in measles cases in Africa over the last 10 years has shown that measles can be controlled; however, for the campaign to be successful, all children have to be vaccinated. It is hoped that the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunisation (GAVI), which is considering developing specific approaches for immunisation delivery in ‘fragile states’, will draw upon the lessons of the so far unsuccessful response to the measles outbreak in DRC.

It is laudable that the global community has resolved to eliminate measles by 2020, however, there is also a need for resolve and impetus, a need for decisive action, if we are to reach that worthwhile goal and prevent unnecessary childhood deaths in countries such as the DRC.
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Todos los países de África se han comprometido con la eliminación del sarampión en el 2020. Este propósito es meritorio, pues la eliminación del sarampión tendrá un efecto considerable en la disminución de la mortalidad infantil. Una dificultad operativa mayor consiste en la reaparición de brotes de sarampión en varios países, debido en buena parte a que se omiten muchos niños en las estrategias de vacunación. En la República Democrática del Congo no disminuyen los brotes epidémicos, pese a las repetidas campañas de vacunación y la alta cobertura notificada por el Ministerio de Salud. En el presente artículo se cuestiona la eficacia del enfoque actual y la necesidad de una mejor reflexión acerca de los obstáculos y de las estrategias que pueden responder a este problema. Si el objetivo consiste en la eliminación del sarampión en el 2020, el cumplimiento de la meta exige un paso decisivo a la acción, con lo cual se evitarán las muertes innecesarias de niños en países como la República Democrática del Congo.